Chemical Biological Defense Acquisition Imitative Forum (CBDAIF)

Detection Sector Report
January 29, 2016
Tim Moshier
Acumen Detection, LLC
An SRC Company

Companies in Sector

 There are currently 64 companies represented in the Detection Sector

| 908 Devices | Davis-Page Management Systems | Northrup Grumman |
|---------------------|---|---------------------------|
| 2020 Gene | Defense Group | PathSensors |
| ABI Biotech | Domenix | QuickSilver Analytics |
| A. Selber | Environics | S3 |
| ADS Inc | Excet | Sam Lucas |
| Agilent | FLIR | SciTech Inc |
| Alakai Defense | IITRI | SESI |
| Ametek | INFICON | Smiths Detection |
| AMH Consulting | JGW Group | Spectral Sensor Solutions |
| Arete | JRAD | Spectrum Photonics |
| Avir Sensors | Kalman and Company | SRC |
| Battelle | L2 Defense | Stephen Reeves |
| Bertin Corp | Leidos | Tauri Group |
| Bill Baugh | Lockheed-Martin Corp | TDA Research |
| BioFire Diagnostics | Luminex | Tetra Tech |
| Block Engineering | Marilyn Ripin | ThermoFisher Scientific |
| Boeing | Monica Heyl & Associates (Lab to Field) | Toyon |
| Boston Dynamics | Morpho Detection | TSI |
| Bruhn Newtech | MRI Global | VF Warner |
| Bruker | MSA | Zeteo Tech |
| CACI | Murtech | |
| Chemring | Nanoscale | |

Health of Sector

- Technologically strong
- Proven performers
- Company sizes range from large to small
- Members' capabilities are diverse
- Few new members to the sector
 - Fewer companies doing CBRNE: less money, less contracting, less IR&D, less experienced people
- Reliance on off-the-shelf capabilities reduces opportunity for DoD-specific tech development
- Competition for contract awards is high
 - Good for JPEO, but raises costs for industry
 - Beware of low-cost "buy ins" for LPTA awards

Major Sector Concerns

- Low number of opportunities; not clear how ATDs may help
 - Most of JUPITR ATD funding went to Government
 - What are production/procurement outlooks for each ATD?
- Reduced Government live agent test capabilities potentially impacting program schedules
- Rad/Nuc opportunities
 - Level of JPEO control vs. Services
 - Majority going to small businesses; large businesses can provide acquisition expertise
- Costs of doing business are increasing
 - RFIs increasing; costs to respond
 - Complexity of solicitations & proposal costs; JBTDS cost ~ \$1 million to \$1.5 million per bidder
 - · Delays in solicitations and awards require holding teams together on overhead
 - JE-RDAP could result in mostly SB awards if \$5 million ceiling holds; significant impact to large businesses
- Opportunity to propose new, enhanced capabilities in LPTA environment
 - Limits innovative solutions
 - Would like to see a DARPA-like "seedling fund" to help drive fast, outside-the-box innovation

Sector Expectations for CBDAIF Meeting Outcomes

- Progress towards ensuring the viability of commercial detection/sensor developers and producers that can provide cuttingedge capabilities to the DoD
- Improved acquisition processes
- Timely information that can be used to plan pursuits of future opportunities
 - Consider updates to the APBI information when plans change and release to the CBDAIF & NBCIG immediately for distribution
- Provide early notification of future JE-RDAP TOs to provide time for team formation & technology development to meet JPEO requirements, and allow responsive proposals
- Define when the JPEO will use Government agencies/arsenals/labs to execute some of the contract work
 - E.g., use of PBA for part of DR-SKO work
 - Industry needs to be able to plan future contract values that may be reduced through use of Government facilities