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About Rustici Software

eLearning standards experts since 2002

We help eLearning platforms work well together through compliance with SCORM, xAPI, cmi5 and AICC.
DoDI 1322.26 was re-issued in October 2017
What’s DoDI 1322.26?

- Updated last year, signed by the DoD
- Provides guidance for procurement process of Distributed Learning solutions
- Advises DoD entities to use SCORM or xAPI
- Replaces the 2006 version, which mandated (as opposed to advised) the use of SCORM in all eLearning technology used by the DoD
- Does not mandate usage of xAPI
You have two options: you can check boxes or solve problems
You can solve problems by selecting the right tool
Think of the standards as tools

- SCORM
- xAPI
- cmi5
SCORM

Awesome for traditional environments and tracking compliance

- Most universal of all of the eLearning standards
- Well-defined data model: SCORM tracks completion, pass/fail, score and time spent
- SCORM 2004 offers more detailed insights than its predecessor, SCORM 1.2, but both are still used
- Browser-based, JavaScript communication
xAPI

Better for tracking real-world abilities: xAPI allows you to understand competency and context

- Lets you track activities/events that occur outside the LMS
- Can be more granular and descriptive than SCORM
- Enables mobile-learning and team-based learning
- Removes the need for a web browser, HTTP communication
- Less supported and saturated than SCORM
- Keeps old SCORM data model and adds room for more context
cmi5

A great middle ground for those looking to get started with xAPI in a traditional learning environment

- Great for traditional, LMS-style environments
- Enables tracking of a learner’s session
- Defines how to package and launch xAPI content
- Allows the ability to also track any type of learning experience via fully expressive xAPI structures
Each of the eLearning standards has its limits
SCORM

Limitations

- Challenging for offline training
- Hard to understand training beyond browsers
- Difficult to track what users actually do
xAPI

Limitations

- Nascent and limited industry support
- LMS and authoring tool implementations are challenging
- Generalized reporting can be difficult
Limitations

- Doesn’t track extra “data” over SCORM
- Like xAPI, there is limited support
- Like xAPI, there is limited industry adoption
What’s the right standard for common scenarios?
Have learners taken this course? Did they pass?
Reporting completion and status

**SCORM**
- Perfect for a traditional, browser-based eLearning course
- LMS and content probably already support SCORM

**xAPI**
- Be careful about how LMS reports on xAPI data
- Use cmi5 as the package and launch methodology for an LMS environment
What parts of my learner’s experiences are important? Does my content contain assessments?
Reporting on learning experiences

SCORM
- Great for simple assessments launched from an LMS
- Most platforms support SCORM assessments

xAPI
- Capable of reporting on simple assessments in an LMS
- Better for understanding actual experiences
- Fewer platforms support xAPI but they exist
Did my learners improve? Did they actually learn anything?
Reporting on improvement

SCORM
- Great for simple assessments launched from an LMS
- Most platforms support SCORM assessments

xAPI
- Capable of reporting on simple assessments in an LMS
- Better for understanding actual experiences
- Fewer platforms support xAPI but they are out there
Where are my learners? What devices do they have?
Training via diverse devices

SCORM and cmi5

- Best for courses launched from a browser

xAPI

- Capable of reporting offline experiences
- Better for training happening via devices (medical dummy, flight simulator, etc.)
- Better for reporting on experiences within software (e.g. Salesforce)
Do I need to protect against data modifications? Do I have other security concerns?
Addressing trust and security

**SCORM**
- Risk of data being manipulated

**xAPI**
- xAPI signatures allow one to verify that statements were not manipulated or modified by any third-party
- Greater control over who has the ability to report data into the system
- Helpful for those producing audit trails
Questions about this stuff?
Thank you!

tj.seabrooks@rusticisoftware.com