

OTB/OTS Panel September 12, 2017

Tony Finefield Finefield Consulting

"The value in Earned Value must be earned!"

Discussion Approach



- Introductions
- Overview of the OSD AT&L OTB/OTS Guide
 - History
 - Structure
 - NDIA Comments

Panel Member Comments/Experiences

- Tony Finefield, Finefield Consulting
- Julie Miller, Leidos
- Melissa Elliot, Raytheon
- Denise Kerby, MDA
- Discussion Session

Introductions



Panel Members:

- Tony Finefield, Finefield Consulting
- Julie Miller, Leidos
- Melissa Elliot, Raytheon
- Denise Kerby, MDA



History

- Multi-agency/organization working group established 2002: NIMA (NGA), NASA, DOD (OSD, AF, Navy), Contractors (CSC, Windmill International), Consultants (McManagement Group, Perf. Mgmt. Associates, Finefield Consulting)
- Goal: gather into one document all guidance relative to the need determination and implementation of an OTB/OTS.
- Published May 7, 2003
- OSD adopts and publishes December 5, 2012



Structure:

- Chapter 1. What is Formal Reprogramming?
- Chapter 2. Recognizing the Need
- Chapter 3. The Formal Reprogramming Process
- Chapter 4. What Do We Expect?
- Chapter 5. How Do I Manage After the OTB/OTS Is in Place?

Appendices:

- APPENDIX A SAMPLE PCO LETTER
- APPENDIX B EXAMPLES OF SINGLE POINT ADJUSTMENTS
- APPENDIX C IPMR FORMATS 1 AND 3
- APPENDIX D GLOSSARY OF TERMS



NDIA Comments, December 31, 2016:

- The incorrect term cost growth is still used in Chapter 1
- A rebaselining does **not** imply a Reprogramming action.
- The word contingencies with respect to MR use should still be deleted.
- The descriptive steps in Blocks 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, and 12 in Figure
 3.1 are incomplete.
- The formula in Chapter 3 for implementing an OTB with SV and CV Variances retained, "TAB = BCWP + ETC," is still incorrect
- The use of the expression consumed to date involving MR debits in Chapter 3, Paragraph 3.5.7.3, should still be corrected to debited to date.



NDIA Comments, December 31, 2016:

- The term Contract Budget Baseline in the Glossary of Terms, OTB amount definition, should still be corrected to Contract Budget Base.
- The revised Glossary of Terms, Appendix E, still does not include applicable terms/definitions; such as OTS, VAC, and SLPP.
- While the Glossary includes the correct definition of a Formal Reprogramming, there is still no mention of a PMB that exceeds the Contract Completion Date; i.e., an OTS.
- The statement in the Glossary that its "provides definitions for terms and acronyms used in this Guide" should still be corrected to abbreviations and acronyms.

Panel Member Comments/Experiences



Tony Finefield, Finefield Consulting

Julie Miller, Leidos

Melissa Elliot, Raytheon

Denise Kerby, MDA

12th Annual
International Integrated Program
Management
Conference
Tysons Corner, Virginia

OVER TARGET BASELINE

Presented By:
Tony Finefield
Air Force Space and Missile Systems
Center
Los Angeles AFB, CA

TOPICS

- Definitions
- · Concepts
- · Guidelines
- Processes
- Discussion

Definitions

1. Over Target Baseline (OTB)

- a) Over: prep. 1. above in place or position; 12. in excess of; more than; 23. beyond the top or upper surface or edge of something.
- **b) Target**: *n*. **1.** an object, as one marked with concentric circles, to be aimed at in shooting practice; **2.** anything to be struck with missiles; **3.** a goal or end to be attained; **4.** an object of abuse, scorn, derison, etc.
- c) Baseline: 1: a line serving as a basis; *especially*: one of known measure or position used (as in surveying or navigation) to calculate or locate something.

(Merriam Webster Dictionary)

Concepts

- OTB's should not be considered until all reasonable management actions have been taken to achieve target cost.
- Cost variance to date does not justify an OTB.
- The value/schedule for the remaining work is the prime consideration for OTB need determination.
- · An OTB should only occur once during the life of a contract.
- Implementation of an OTB does not automatically suspend Earned Value reporting requirements.

Guidelines

- Contract should be more than 35% but less than 85% complete using pre-OTB data: BCWP/BAC
- The projected cost growth is 15% or greater: ((EAC-ACWP)-(BAC-BCWP))/BAC-BCWP
- There are more than 12 months of effort remaining. or
- Everyone will get better management information!

OTB Handbook

- · Idea grew out of Fall 2000 Conference
- OTB Handbook Team Formed
- Met to establish structure
- · Team Members assigned chapters
- · Inputs gathered, "smoothed", correlated
- · Draft presented at CPM Conf. Spring '02
- Final to be presented at Fall '02 Conf

OTB/OTS Motto

"The best laid schemes o' mice and men Gang aft a-gley; And leave us naught but grief and pain For promised joy."

To a Mouse Robert Burns. 1759-1796.

Steps Issues

- Contract Award
- Lay-in PMB
- Perform Work
- Incur Variances
- Deplete MR
- Request OTB
- Start Again

Steps

- Contract Award
- Lay-in PMB
- Perform Work
- Incur Variances
- · Deplete MR
- Request OTB
- Start Again

- Negotiation Losses
- · Under-bids
- Proposal Errors
- Delayed Award

Steps

- Contract Award
- Lay-in PMB
- · Perform Work
- · Incur Variances
- · Deplete MR
- · Request OTB
- Start Again

- MR Establishment
- Front-loading
- Subcontract
 Negotiations
- "I forgots"
- "Square-filler" IBR

Steps

- Contract Award
- Lay-in PMB
- Perform Work
- · Incur Variances
- · Deplete MR
- · Request OTB
- · Start Again

- Start Late
- Design Inadequacies
- Late Material
- Inappropriate
 Resources
- Inadequate
 Resources
- Constructive Changes

Steps

- Contract Award
- Lay-in PMB
- · Perform Work
- Variances Grow
- · Deplete MR
- · Request OTB
- Start Again

- Reduced Budgets
- Increased
 Resource
 Consumption
- Continuing Changes
- Optimistic
 Estimates

Steps

- Contract Award
- Lay-in PMB
- · Perform Work
- · Incur Variances
- Deplete MR
- Request OTB
- Start Again

- Rework
- Rate Changes
- Budgeting to Costs
- Budgeting for Rolling Wave Increases

Steps

- Contract Award
- Lay-in PMB
- · Perform Work
- · Incur Variances
- Deplete MR
- Request OTB
- Start Again

- · Reality Sets In
- Estimate Remaining Effort
 - Schedule Extension?
 - Capture Work Scope?
 - Resource Requirements?
 - Remaining Risk?
- Baseline to Estimate

Steps

- Contract Award
- Lay-in PMB
- · Perform Work
- · Incur Variances
- · Deplete MR
- · Request OTB
- Start Again

- Zero the Variances
- Establish MR
- Establish CA
 Budgets
- Conduct IBR
- Perform Work

OTB Example #1

- Fixed-price contract
- New EVM System
- Multiple
 Subcontractors
- Multiple "Customers"
- Multiple Owners
- Changing Requirements
- Corporate Cap on Costs

- Too Little Too Late!
- System Too Complex
- Data Problems
- Unstable Requirements
- Cap led to useless estimate...useless baseline

Bottom Line: Waste of time!

OTB Example #2

- Cost-plus contract
- "Black" Program
- Multiple Major
 Subcontractors
- Pushing technology
- Multiple System
 Deviations
- Subs "factored" for reporting

- Technical scrub of ETC
- Prime/Subs at the same time
- Baseline Review focused on deltas from ETC
- Everyone supported
- Followed the system!
- +/- 2% Deviation

Bottom Line: Did it right!

Tony's Bottom Line

- 1. Contract-type is a factor in effective implementation
- 2. An effective IBR EARLY is essential
- 3. OTB Implementation ≠ Program Failure
- 4. OTB "reputation" works against greater use
- 5. All players must be committed to the OTB
- 6. Contractor MUST coordinate with the customer



Panel Presentations & Discussion