

NDIA Integrated Program Management Division

Clearinghouse Working Group

Joe Kusick
Gary Humphreys
Russ Rodewald





Clearinghouse Topics

- Expected IPMR DID Revisions (not related to IPMR₂ change)
 - Price/Usage Variance Formula (align with EIA-748)
 - COM/G&A language change to: "...in accordance with contractor's existing systems..."
 - Reporting of multiple OTBs report data via Format 5

Stop Work Orders

- EVMSIG clarifies scope should be removed at the rate which it was baselined. However this is not recognized by the ACO/PCO's
- Gary Bliss has been provided examples by industry and he is personally trying to effect a resolution at the DPAP level and above

Subcontractor MR

- Clarification on reporting subcontractor MR in prime MR
- Survey to go out via Prime/Sub working group
- Looking to incorporate guidance into execution guidance





Clearinghouse Topics

- Gate Month Issues
 - Some Companies do not update EAC's until next comprehensive
 - Other Companies hold ETC/LRE if predetermined thresholds are not breached and only update control accounts that breach thresholds between comprehensive EACs
 - Some Companies do a "desktop" update NOT at the work package level between comprehensive EAC's particularly on large programs
- Agile vs EVMS
 - Continued Interpretation Issues
- EAC development at the work package level
 - There is not consistency in industry as to where EAC's/ETC's may be done
 - Potential for greater administrative costs if ETC/EAC's that are NOT comprehensive are forced to be done at the work package level





Clearinghouse Topics

New items...

- What is the stance on a baseline and an earned value technique?
 - Is a QBD part of the baseline? What is the minimum requirement of a QBD to be compliant – what happens when reality changes?
 - Context DCMA is pushing and has since 2015 that QBDs are also 100% firm and never change from the baseline
- If LOE is excluded from an IMS critical path, per your defined flag fields and processes, but is linked for consistency and planning purposes (all items in IMS) is this non-compliant?
 - Context Being told they are not allowed to have any links between any discrete and LOE tasks at all because it invalidates the critical path – even if our process, custom fields, and filters mandate removal of LOE and others prior to calculation of a critical path. This is seen as non-compliant.
- What efforts are underway to correct and educate improper application of EVM to software contracts or products at different lifecycles stages other than new development?

