

CSDR Working Group

Overview

Focus is to establish communication between CAPE and NDIA IPMD practitioners, with emphasis on the pending changes to the Cost Reporting DIDs.

Leadership



Randy Steeno co-lead



James Taylor co-lead

- Establish a Formal Channel of communication between NDIA-IPMD and CAPE/DCARC
 - Keep NDIA IPMD current on the changing environment and reporting requirements from CAPE
 - Provide industry comments on draft DIDs from CAPE
 - **Consider Collaboration Meetings (NDIA-CAPE) resolve issues**
- Assess the need for any additional IPMD documents regarding CSDRs
 - Will investigate / look at what educational materials may be needed for IPMD members to assist them in complying with the new Flexfile requirements
- Team will monitor the new PARCA/CAPE Co-Plan and Flexfile pilots









Recent Status

- Working Group started at the January 2016 meeting
- 12 Feb 2016 Memo solicited WG members 17 volunteers
- Monthly phone call meetings
- CADE/PARCA Focus Group meeting at Andrews AFB (4-5 April)
 - Next CADE CSDR Focus Group meeting is 20 September at Andrews AFB
- CADE Technical Data (1921-T/-Q/-R) & SRDR Focus Group meeting on 24 May
 - The new SRDR DID (DI-MGMT-82035) dated 2 June 2016 now posted
 - One DID with two reports: Software Development; Software Maintenance
- WG decided to collectively comment on the draft Flexfile DID
 - WG identified 42 Comments to the Draft Flexfile DID (31 May 2016 version)
 - IPMD sent comments to CAPE on 9 August
- Verbalize the results from our Working Group meeting yesterday





Proposed Technical Data DID - 3 Formats

UNCLASSIFIED



Technical Data Report MGMT DID

OSD CAPE



1 (1921-T

FORMAT

TECHNICAL



- Unit of Measure
- Part ID #

- Unit of Measure Qualifier

Report Frequency by Design Gate



(1921-Q

7

FORMAT

QUANTITY

• To Date/At Completion Units:

- Model/Variant/Flight

- GFE Units



(1921-R)

က

FORMAT

REPAIR PART

- System/End Item Data:
- Failure Data:

Repair Event

- Repair Data:

Report Frequency by Cost Report

Report Frequency by Cost Report











Create an "Enterprise Cost Data Repository" for use by DOD/CAPE

Summary of Section 812 of the House (HASC) FY17 NDAA

Section 812—Amendments Relating to Independent Cost Estimation and Cost Analysis ... The section would also standardize and increase the scope of cost data collected by CAPE to create an enterprise cost data repository for use by all Department of Defense costing and acquisition functions. It is the committee's intent that the establishment of an enterprise data repository should not add additional layers of oversight to acquisition programs that are currently managed by the military departments.

Markup....

"(g) GUIDELINES AND COLLECTION OF COST

DATA.—(1) The Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation shall, in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, develop policies, procedures, guidance, and a collection method to ensure that acquisition cost data are collected in a standardized format that facilitates cost estimation and comparison across acquisition programs.

"(2) The program manager and contracting officer for each major defense acquisition program, major auto mated information system program, and major subprogram, in consultation with the cost estimating component of the relevant military department or Defense Agency, shall ensure that cost data are collected in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (1) for any acquisition program in an amount greater than \$100,000,000.

"(3) The requirement under paragraph (1) may be waived only by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation."

<u>NDIN</u>

Dromotine National Security Since 1919

INTEGRATED PROGRAM MANAGEMENT DARGO-TUCION, ANDONA MITTIE OPPICE DOLTALISTO

....

Deal

The Industry members of the NSAN Program Management Dirkshin call spon your offset to milligate a eligibilities with the contrality stops. ANNY at COS. They have been the impringal point for NSAN Associated his working light them authority to place very detailed and one-took out CDSs on large government contracts. This is not supported by COS ASIS. The output and the least and one-took out CDSs on large government contracts. This is not supported by COS ASIS. The output and the least and commercial industry on NST support the level and depth of this type of output data and appears of efficiency and leavings out the management processes. If CAPS were allowed to put this type of contract requirement on major contracts, the disruption and the ability to manage would be entirely affected in terms of both out-and interagement capability. This utilities are supported in the contract that the contract the contract that is the contract that is the contract that the contract that is the contract that the contract that is the contract that is the contract that the contract that is the contract that the contract that contracts the contract is equal to the detail that is the contract that the contract that contracts the contract of the contract of the defense community.

The following excerpts highlight the concerns above and the itili language needs to be struck or changed so that ATBL remains the central authoriting authority for cost CDR1's.

H.R. 6909, PYS7 NDAA House Section \$12 Part (g) Assessment Amendments Relating to independent Cost Schmation and Cost Analysis

What does the provision allow us to do or restrict us from duing?

 The "finitive and Collection of Cost bata" part of this provision standardizes and increases the scope of cost data collected by CAP's to create an enterprise cost data repository for use by all Department of Defense conting and cognition functions.

What is the impact of this provision?

its data requirements.

- This provision increases the reporting burden on all major defense acquisition programs, major automated information systems, major subprograms, and other programs over \$0.00,000,000.
- Contractor cost reporting is always a balancing act between government benefit from such data versus
 the potentially previous direct and consequential cost of such recording by the contractors.
- DoD's acquisition community is striving to streamline and reduce the burden of data reporting, but in a
 historical and cost effective year.
- We believe having Congress distate how we collect and store cost data is not an appropriate level of governance. Congress should allow the Organizment to adjudicate stakeholder interests and determine

Inclosing as the Chairman of the aforementioned division within NDIA and with the full support of the Board of Directors, the above referenced NDIA Bill language subconfigure the appointion community's cost effectiveness.

balancing concerns to those of the cost community's simple desire for ever more detailed data, regardless of cost or discussion imposed on the contractors.

Time is of the exerce, we ask that you work with your House and Senate contacts to remove this language during the current reconcillation and mark up of the House and Senate NOAA Mills. We believe that this markup will court within the next couple of weeks.

Respectfully yours

200

Mr. Daniel I. Lynch
Chaimson, NDA-Integrated Program Management Division
1351 E Hermans Road
Tucson, Arizona 85756
Office: \$30,794,3413
Monitor \$10,048,6791





