

## **NDIA Program Management Systems Committee hosted PARCA Stakeholders Meeting**

**Notes from January 26, 2012**

**Industry Input to PARCA on IPMR DID - January 26, 2012**

Sung Soon Stultz - Reviewed the charts submitted to PARCA from NDIA PMSC. These charts are a consolidation of industry input related to the draft IPMR DID.

Major concern- Timeline issue: Gordon Kranz asked industry to please walk through a detailed time line of why the 10 days is unachievable. Gary Bliss asked, what would be the criteria you would suggest? Suggest flexibility be allowed in contract.

**Action:** Industry will submit a standard time table for preparing a report. Include information related to accounting calendar differences with subs, sub data, estimated actuals, etc. May suggest a recommendation. Provide rationale.

Major Concern - UN/CEFACT Submittal Issues. Concern with forward dates having differences going from format to format. Discussion around whether or not there really is an issue. Gordon Kranz: How significant is the difference? A day, a year? Gordon willing to understand. Can we quantify the likely error? 10 % variation, etc? Joan: in their pilots, there were zero differences. Josh Anderson and Joan will work together with DCMA to determine a solution. Gordon Kranz: Are there schema issues? Josh Anderson: need to make sure there are no mandatory fields in the UN/CEFACT that aren't already in the DID. Human readable format - looking for further clarification - why do you need both? Additionally, we are audited by DCMA on the CPR line items. What is the expectation for integrating subs into the prime IMS? Is it expected that the entire IMS for subs will be integrated? Gordon - no, but do need reasonable level of detail. Need some real examples. Gordon: help us understand how you're interpreting what we've asked for in terms of integration. Main goal is electronic submission and figure out over time how to make it better.

Major Concern - Variance Reporting Concern: Subcontractor integration. Format 2 variance analysis addition is added burden. Can we go to format 1 OR format 2 VARs? Who's the customer for Format 2? The comment will be used. Format 3 - since this submittal the Format 3 update has been released

Major Concern - IMS/Format 6. Clarification from Gordon: they are not requiring resource loaded schedules. If something needs to be updated to make that clear they will.

### **General Comments**

- General terminology change from CPR/IMS to IPMR in training, etc. If Industry thinks there are specific costs that will be incurred as a result of the change, let Gordon know.
- Need clarification that subs do not submit directly to the Central Repository.
- Need to clarify how to use the DID when only an IMS is required. Gordon agreed.

- Gordon - can you provide examples of real life positive use of schedule margin.
- Question: if reporting level is Control Account, where do we put Summary Level Planning Packages? No answer yet, will consider.
- Question: Appears that Formats 1-6 are "Mandatory". Suggest caution in using this term. Need to document concern.
- Need comments and additional clarification identified above by 31 January.

### **Competency Model - Gordon Kranz**

Working with DAU to develop what's needed to increase the competency for program offices, using the five compliance categories. Much discussion around improving the competency of the government program managers.

### **Central Repository - Gordon Kranz**

Measuring and Categorizing the unacceptable CDRL submittals to the CR. They do not review the CSDR. There is a CCDR tool on the website to check it before you submit. There may be tools in the future to check the CPR as well.

### **Issue Resolution Process**

Only 10 issues received - all are being addressed. They do not necessarily seem to reflect the issues Gordon hears verbally.

How do we address those who have issues but are afraid to ask? They really are here to solve the real problems. If issues are anonymous it severely limits the ability to solve the problem.

Sweeney - Try to work your specific DCMA issues with DCMA before submitting to PARCA.

Question on application of EVM on IDIQ contracts: answer is unique depending on contract

There is no EV Center - it is the EV Operations Division

Joe Kusick thanked PARCA and Boeing and dismissed the group.