

CAS Harmonization – EVM and Financial Aspects

THE VALUE OF PERFORMANCE.

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

August 13, 2012

David Ricci

Director, Pricing, Estimating and Program Control

CAS Harmonization Discussions within NGC

- Background

- FPRA/FPRPs have been updated to reflect the impacts of the change to incorporate the CAS change regarding treatment of Pension Costs
 - EACs have been updated
 - Profitability packages have been updated to reflect the changes in the EAC

- Issue

- Need recommendation on how to address budgeting process and Margin booking rate process
 - Desire to stay consistent with Corporate Guidance
 - Contract Value adjustment in Profitability and on Contracts is key issue
 - Desire to stay consistent

CAS Harmonization – EVM and Financial Aspects

- Alternative 1 for Budget Baseline incorporation
 - Utilize Authorized Unpriced Work as method to Budget SOW
 - Adjustment to Target Cost recorded on CPR
 - Use to provide Budget for revised rates
 - Pros
 - Can be implemented on all Contracts
 - Allows budgeting even if we do not have MR
 - Adjustment to Target Costs improves financials
 - Cons
 - Forces Customers to address issue – negative feedback
 - Assumes Contract adjustment will occur at each Contract – what happens if it does not?
 - Similar to UCA which is not positively looked upon by Customers

CAS Harmonization – EVM and Financial Aspects

- Alternative 2 for Budget Baseline incorporation
 - Utilize Management Reserve if available to update Budget baseline changes
 - Pros
 - Can be implemented by Program if change is significant for a given Contract
 - » If change is not significant, budget is not updated, but impact is in EAC
 - Customer will see baseline change and effort will be budgeted and therefore performance will not be impacted (CPI)
 - Could be on case by case basis
 - » Compliant per System Description
 - Cons
 - No change to Contract Baseline if MR is not available or if Program Manager does
 - Could prevent MR from being utilized for other issues (if and when they arise)
 - No adjustment to Target Cost for Financials

CAS Harmonization – EVM and Financial Aspects

- Alternative 3 for Budget Baseline incorporation
 - No change to Budgets, incorporate EAC impacts and change Budgets as appropriate when Contract change occurs on that Contract
 - Pros
 - Treated just like RWA, no budget changes until Contract Change
 - EAC utilized updated Rates
 - No impact to MR
 - Compliant to procedures
 - Cons
 - No change to Contract Baseline, which may degrade performance (CPI)
 - VARs may result from variances to budget
 - No Target Cost adjustment for Financials

CAS Harmonization – EVM and Financial Aspects

- NGC Approach
 - Combination of Alternatives 2 & 3 implemented on a contract by contract basis
 - Default is Alternative 3
 - Alternative 2 is implemented by Program if change is significant for a given Contract and contract has MR

Question is do we need a NDIA PMSC Position on this issue?

THE VALUE OF PERFORMANCE.

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

