

Acquisition Policy: Current Acquisition Environment

Jon Etherton

President, Etherton & Associates; and Senior Fellow for Acquisition Reform, NDIA

April 26, 2017



National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 (Public Law 114-328)

- Signed into law on December 23, 2016.
- At 100+, largest number of acquisition policy provisions ever in one bill.
 - Innovation is the big theme.
 - Major systems acquisition.
 - Commercial item and services acquisition.
 - Undefinitized contract actions.
 - Intellectual property/ IR&D.
 - Contract type/payments.
 - Business system requirements.



Sec. 805. Modular open system approach in development of major weapon systems.

- Requires all major defense acquisition programs (MDAPs) receiving Milestone A or B approval after January 1, 2019, to be designed and developed with a modular open system approach (MOSA), to the maximum extent practicable.
- MOSA is defined, with respect to MDAPs, as an integrated business and technical strategy
 that employs a modular design with major system interfaces between a major system
 platform (such as a ground vehicle, ship, or aircraft) and its major system components
 (such as sensors or communication equipment), between major system components, or
 major system platforms.

Sec. 806. Development, prototyping, and deployment of weapon system components or technology.

- Requires the Secretary of Defense to provide detailed budget information on advanced component development and prototyping associated with programs of record and the development, prototyping, and experimentation of weapon system components or other technologies separate from acquisition programs of record.
- Requires the establishment of oversight boards within each military department to establish strategic plans every three years to identify gaps, priorities, opportunities in technology development and to oversee such efforts.
- Establishes a two-year time limit and a ceiling of \$10 million for the development of weapon systems components or prototype technologies to support MOSA approaches for weapons platforms.
- Establishes provisions for low rate production and rapid fielding of component technologies.



Sec. 809. Amendments relating to technical data rights.

- Largely repeals changes made to 10 USC 2320 and 2321 in section 815 of the FY12 NDAA that required data utilized in the performance of a contract necessary for the segregation and reintegration of components and capabilities in systems to be delivered to the government at any time for the costs of reproduction.
- Allows a contractor or subcontractor to limit the right of the government to release or disclose detailed manufacturing or process data otherwise deemed necessary for operation, maintenance, installation, or training.
- Provides for the government rights to use or release for government purposes interface data developed with mixed funding or major systems interface data developed with mixed funding or developed exclusively at private expense.

Sec. 811. Modified restrictions on undefinitized contractual actions.

- Amends 10 USC 2326 to:
 - require profit on a contract to reflect the cost risk to the contractor as it existed on the date the contractor submitted the qualifying proposal if the contract definitization occurs beyond 180 days of proposal submission.
 - limit the performance period for any undefinitized contract to 90 days without a written determination for a longer period by a secretary of a military department or the head of defense agency; and
 - prohibits DoD from entering into an undefinitized contract action for a foreign military sale unless the UCA provides for definitization by the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date on which the contractor submits a qualifying proposal to definitize the contractual terms, specifications, and price.



Sec. 813. Use of Lowest Price Technically Acceptable source selection process

- Requires that DoD issue new regulations restricting the use of lowestprice technically acceptable source selection criteria to situations that meet certain detailed requirements and in which the contracting officer includes a justification based on meeting such detailed requirements in the contract file.
- Requires that LPTA source selection criteria be avoided to the maximum extent practicable in the cases of certain procurements (IT, Cyber services, SETA, or other knowledge-based professional services, personal protective equipment, knowledge-based training or logistics services supporting contingency or other operations outside the US).



Sec. 820. Defense cost accounting standards.

- Amends 41 USC 1501 and 1502 to require the Cost Accounting Standards Board (CASB) to reconcile Federal Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and to hire an executive director and meet at least quarterly to reduce inconsistencies between CAS and GAAP.
- Authorizes Federal agencies to waive the application of the CAS for contracts valued at less than \$100.0 million.
- Establishes a Defense Cost Accounting Standards Board to advise the CASB, oversee implementation of CAS within the Department of Defense, and ensure that managerial cost accounting is appropriately implemented for commercial functions performed by DoD employees.
- Requires DCAA to accept summaries of audit findings by a commercial auditor that meet certain conditions.
- Requires DCAA to rely on a contractor's commercial audits of indirect costs except in case of a company or business unit with a predominance of government cost-type contracts as a percentage of sales.



Sec. 824. Treatment of independent research and development costs on certain contracts.

- Amends the current IRD/B&P statute (10 USC 2372) to:
 - limit coverage to IR&D costs;
 - provide for regular exchange of comprehensive information related to such costs between DoD and industry.
- Adds a new 10 USC 2372a to:
 - provide for allowability of bid and proposal costs in a cost pool separate from IR&D;
 - establish a goal that DoD-wide bid and proposal costs should not exceed one percent of the total amount of annual contractor sales to the Department, but prohibit DoD from limiting reimbursement such costs as a means of achieving the goal in a given year;
 - require DoD to contract with an independent entity to study the laws, regulations, and practices driving bid and proposal costs and provide recommendations to reduce such costs; and
 - require In any year DoD fails to meet the one percent goal that an advisory panel be established to provide recommendations to reduce such costs bid and proposal costs.



Sec. 826. Extension of program for comprehensive small business contracting plans.

 Extends the authority for the comprehensive small business subcontracting plan test program to December 31, 2027.

Sec. 829. Preference for fixed-price contracts.

 Requires DoD to issue regulations establishing a preference for the use of fixed-price contracts and requiring approval by the agency or service acquisition executive for the use of any cost-type contract above a specified contract value threshold (\$50 million in FY18, \$25M in FY19 and beyond).



Sec. 830. Requirement to use firm fixed-price contracts for foreign military sales.

- Requires DoD to issue regulations with requirement for the use of firm-fixed price contracts for all FMS contracts, unless the foreign country concerned specifies a different contract type or the Secretary of Defense determines on a case-by-case basis that a different contract type is in the best interest of the United States.
- Establishes a pilot program to January 1, 2020 for up to ten foreign military sales contracts for items in full-rate production using reduced requirements for new cost or pricing data.



Sec. 831. Preference for performance-based contractual payments.

- Amends the contract financing statute (10 USC 2307) to establish a stronger preference for performance-based payments and to ensure that:
 - performance-based payments are not conditioned on costs incurred but on achievement of performance milestones;
 - commercial and non-traditional contractors have access to performancebased contract financing; and
 - contractors are able to rely on accounting systems compliant with general accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in order to be eligible for such payments and are not subject to DCAA audit of such systems.

Sec. 835. Protection of Task Order Competition.

- Amends 10 USC 2304c to raise the task order value threshold at which
 protests are authorized under DoD multiple ward contracts from \$10 million
 and above to \$25 million and above effective on the date of enactment.
- Amends 41 USC 4106 to restore and make permanent authority to protest task orders \$10 million and above under civilian agency multiple award contracts effective on date of enactment.



Sec. 836. Contract closeout authority.

 Authorizes use of special authorities to close out certain DoD contracts entered into before FY 2000.

Sec. 846. Repeal of major automated information systems provisions.

Repeals, effective on September 30, 2017, all of chapter 144A of title 10 concerning the acquisition of major information technology programs, including the special rules for information technology program cost reporting put in place in lieu of the Nunn-McCurdy reporting process for major defense acquisition programs.

Sec. 855. Mission integration management.

- Provides funding from the Rapid Prototyping Fund to support a requirement for systems of systems interoperability.
- Requires the appointment of a mission integration manager for each covered multi-service mission areas (close air support, air defense and counter-air offense and defense, interdiction, ISR, and other overlapping mission areas).



Sec. 875. Use of performance and commercial specifications in lieu of military specifications and standards.

- Establishes a requirement that DoD use commercial or nongovernmental specifications and standards generally in procurement in lieu of military specifications and standards unless waived by the defense acquisition executive or the appropriate service acquisition executive or in cases when there is no acceptable commercial or non-government standard or when the use of a commercial or non-government standard is not cost effective.
- Requires a revision to the DFARS to encourage contractors to propose non-government standards and industry-wide practices that meet the intent of military specification and standards.



Sec. 881. Greater Integration of the National Technology and Industrial Base.

- Requires a DoD plan for the identification and reduction of barriers to the more seamless integration of Nation Technology and Industrial Base among various private and public entities including steps for enhancing interoperability of allied forces.
- Amends 10 USC 2500(I) to add Australia and the United Kingdom to the countries in the definition of the National Technology and Industrial Base, which currently includes just the US and Canada.



Sec. 893. Amendments to contractor business system requirements.

- Amends section 893 of the FY 11 NDAA to:
 - require the Department of Defense to identify and make public clear business system requirements;
 - allow a contractor to submit a certification from their third-party independent auditors attesting to the internal control assessment of the contractor, pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley, that its business systems conforms to the Department's business system requirements to eliminate the need for further review by DoD; and
 - specify that business system requirements apply only to contractors that have covered contracts accounting for greater than 1 percent of their total gross revenue, but that such requirements do not apply in any case to a contractor that is exempt from using the full cost accounting standards.



Sec. 901. Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering and related acquisition position in the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

- Abolishes, <u>effective February 1, 2018</u>, the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics in favor of:
 - An Office of the Under Secretary for Research and Engineering, who also serves as the Chief Technology Officer, to:
 - establish policies on, and supervise, all defense research and engineering, technology development, technology transition, prototyping, experimentation, and developmental testing activities and programs, including the allocation of resources for defense research and engineering, and unifying defense research and engineering efforts across the Department.
 - An Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment to establish policies on, and supervise, all elements of the Department relating to:
 - acquisition (including system design, development, and production, and procurement of goods and services) and sustainment (including logistics, maintenance, and material readiness);
 - the defense industrial base and materials critical to national security
 - policies on contract administration;
 - responsibilities as the senior procurement executive for the Department; and the Defense Acquisition Executive for purposes of regulations and procedures of the Department
 - the modernization of nuclear forces and the development of capabilities to counter weapons of mass destruction.
- Gives precedence to the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering over the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Sustainment.
- Eliminates, <u>effective on date of enactment</u>, the offices of the Assistant Secretaries of Defense for Acquisition; Logistics and Material Readiness; Research and Engineering; and Energy, Installations and, Environment.

2017



- FY17 Appropriations under Continuing Resolution until Friday.
 - Short-term CR likely into next week.
- FY18 budget process not likely to begin in earnest until early summer.
 - Detailed PB request proposal to OMB by May 1.
 - FY18 request details not expected before May 22.
 - Major issues to be resolved (BCA caps, priorities)
- House Armed Services Committee Third and last big year.
 - Requirements process
 - Operations & sustainment planning and costs
 - Contracting (type)
 - Contracting for services
 - Operational and developmental testing
 - Defense auditing materiality
 - Implementation of modular open systems architecture approach in major programs
 - Pulling acquisition process mandates out of Title 10, United States Code.
- Senate Armed Services Committee.
 - IT acquisition process and oversight.
 - Alignment of contracting and program organization incentives.
 - Reducing overhead costs for traditional contractors and subcontractors.
 - Budget flexibility for alternative pathways.

2017



Administration.

- Reorganization of USD(AT&L) may be moved forward.
- Regulatory process implementing FY17 NDAA provisions delayed.
 - Fate of existing proposed rules (e.g. IR&D) unclear.
- Most senior acquisition positions remain to be filled.
- Reform center of gravity still sorting out.
- Sec. 809 Panel on Regulatory Reform.
 - Interim report likely in early summer.
 - Final report due August 2018.
- Sec. 813 Panel on IP.
 - Report due this summer.